

Trinity, Trinitarian, Non-Trinitarian
REVISING THE COUNCIL OF NICAEA'S FINDINGS

The Non-Trinitarian (Unitarian/Oneness/Modalism/etc.) claim and the Atheist/Non-Theist claim are both identical:

“All Council of Nicaea conclusions should be open to revisions. Certainty of ANY-thing is not possible.”

Those are all working off of the uninformed conclusion that the Philosophical mindset holds a case as fallible but a properly Religious mindset must hold a case as infallible. More on that in a moment but first:

Once the [Category] called [Certainty] gets so much as nanometer into the proverbial door the game is over as, Certainty is a Universal Acid of the Inverse Sort found in Non-Theism's “All Is Illusory For All We Know ~ Shrug”. The reason everything in the Council of Nicaea IS open to revision is BECAUSE:

[1/3] “X” equals or stands for: [God is One & God Alone is our Salvation]

[2/3] What were the people in the Council of Nicaea “doing”?

[3/3] Retaining X and getting rid of whatever doesn't satisfy X. That's it. It's that Simple. The argument that Non-Trinitarian Monotheism and/or Non-Simplicitarian Monotheism retains, say, all 31K Verses of Scripture while Trinitarian Monotheism ((vis-à-vis Simplicitarianism)) aka Christianity does NOT retain all 31K Verses is a failed argument both Theologically and Logically ((...just as is the argument that Non-Theism regarding...and so on...)).

That's the whole show.

The Non-Theist or Unitarian or Whoever claim is a claim that reduces to the following slightly hyperbolic example ~ and it is slightly hyperbolic in tone only, not in explanatory terminus because the claim actually does reduce to the DEMAND that folks OUGHT be “open to” this or that Reductio Ad Absurdum OR AT LEAST be open to a “Version” of “Christ” which seamlessly retains, say, 21K Verses instead of the Too-High-Bar that 31K Verses must be seamlessly retained ((whatever percentage of whatever body of scripture one cares to use in whatever timeframe)). So here is their claim:

“Surely you know that Certainty is not possible or at the very least we really do want to show you AGAIN and AGAIN and AGAIN yet another of our Round-Squares aka Reductio Ad Absurdum because – well – after all we offer something better and, so, well, present day Creedal Christianity vis-à-vis the Council of Nicaea Ought-To-Be-Open-To-Logical-Absurdities-And-Theological-Anathema.”

That’s fine but for millennia atop millennia there’s been no syllogistic architecture from, say, Non-Theism showing, say, the Non-Being of Being e.g. see 48 which is at https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1680369846465306626

And perhaps also in the mix is the typical “But Political Power & Conversion” e.g. https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1275798112813158403

Just the same, for millennia atop millennia there’s been no syllogistic architecture from, say, Unitarianism showing the coherence of God/gods coming down and employing a Human Sacrifice to bring metaphysical closure to Eschatology and the Participatory, and so on, e.g. see “6/7” which is at https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1807364396257054984

Everything is open to revision because the Council of Nicaea ends with conclusions that are “Basic” or “Elementary” or “Rudimentary” in the sense that there is CERTAINTY and therefore convergence and thereby agreement on what is left once we strip away any and all reductions to this or that **Logical Impossibility, Round-Square, Self-Negation, Infinite Regress, Circularity, Blind Axiom, Forced Rejection of ANY Verse of Scripture, and Anathema.**

Those eight items are a kind of **Octet** and while there are other items we could list, that **Octet** speaks to things that are so elementary as to be irreducible in the sense that by the process of **Retorsion** it is demonstrable that to RETAIN ANY of the **Octet** is to be forced into this or that *Reductio Ad Absurdum*. By “Retorsion” we mean https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1180064916214046720

Nicaea makes a simple claim:

God is One
God Alone is All-Sufficient
F, S, HS is that One All-Sufficient God

That’s it. The details and metaphysics “therein” are NOT the WHY and WHAT of Nicaea.

Notice: NICAEA IS AN IDENTITY CLAIM UPON GOD.

Notice: BECAUSE IT IS AN IDENTITY CLAIM THERE IS NO SUCH CATEGORY AS “HALF-WAY” (...i.e. Unitarian/Modal/Oneness/Etc...).

Christianity’s singular metaphysical landscape houses the irreducible Epicenter of *Three distinctions in GOD as Trinity* and of the *All Sufficient Self-Outpouring of God*.

That singular “...wellspring of all ontological possibility...” provides not only Christendom’s Irreducible Epicenter but also such provides Mankind with two unbreakable pillars – namely [1] What God is like in God as per the irreducibly relational vis-à-vis Being *in totum* as Timeless Reciprocity and Ceaseless Self-Giving vis-à-vis the Trinitarian Life and also [2] What it is that both keeps us in and/or gets us back into relation with God (...nothing less than All Sufficiency, God, His Self-Outpouring, etc...). It is also uncanny that those pillars hold through all possible worlds – both with and without Privation. Once again we mean specifically the irreducible Epicenter of *Three distinctions in GOD as Trinity* and of the *All Sufficient Self-Outpouring of God*. We need never waste time mistaking discussions concerning the **Aqueducts** for discussions concerning the **Living Water**.

Nicaea concludes with what is akin to “basic three letter words” and so if Long-Words come along and want to join in the Chorus well that is fine but, if the employment of any such New/Long “Word” “necessitates” one to claim that “Cat” is spelled “7T&C*A” well then the aforementioned **OCTET** and the aforementioned “31K Verses” all come immediately to the front of the line and the application process is, eventually, discarded.

Both on Scripture’s grounds and the ground of Reason, Consciousness, Being, and Logic it is the case that Certainty is a part of that distribution seen in Scripture and in Reality. To claim Certainty is Anathema is neither Scriptural nor rational. For example the Liar’s Paradox as per https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1812887697217687664 and the proverbial Ocean vs. Immunity as per https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1760678419841560589 ~ and perhaps also the following regarding Certainty and Uncertainty https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1813203523716227293

The Creedal Nicaean Trinitarian is CERTAIN that we are rationally justified to reject / expunge all such vectors, zip-codes, syllogistic architectures which carry us into the “**OCTET**” of this or that *Logical Impossibility, Round-Square, Self-Negation, Infinite Regress, Circularity, Blind Axiom, Forced Rejection of ANY Verse of Scripture, and/or Anathema*.

Unitarianism:

The Unitarian claim is that evidence COULD POSSIBLY exist that shows that Human Sacrifice really CAN sum to “The Salvific” vis-à-vis the very Source and Sustenance of Being||Existence

and so Unitarians condemn the Trinitarian claim of CERTAINTY that the EXISTENCE of such 'evidence' is a Logical Impossibility.

The Unitarian is forced to retain at least one item of the aforementioned Octet as their argument about "Revising the Council of Nicaea" reduces to the *Reductio Ad Absurdum* (and Anathema) of "...but a Round-Square-Just-Might-Be-Possible..." vis-à-vis the claim that Human Sacrifice is what the gods employ to set the world right and/or to fund Eschatology, and so on.

IT'S A VERY SIMPLE X and as alluded to earlier the Council of Nicaea gives conclusions that are constituted of that what is left after it identifies and expunges all vectors that yield logical reductions to absurdities. The Non-Theist's and Unitarian's Round-Squares are not valid candidates BECAUSE of the proverbial OCTET. Meanwhile ANY syllogistic architecture demonstrating closure in lucidity IS perfectly acceptable.

We can perhaps also say it this way:

The Council of Nicaea had content and that content was quite CLEAR because we are CERTAIN in that we know **WHO ALONE** is our **Creator**, our **Salvation**, our **Perfecter**, our **Sufficiency**, and **WHO ALONE** is the proper End of all **Worship**, **Hope**, and **Faith**. So, for example, on the flip side of that we find out WHY Unitarianism's narrative of the gods coming down and calling for Human Sacrifice to make the world a better place is a movement (the movement called Unitarianism etc.) that fluctuates between 0.001% and 0.2% of the Earth's population ~ it gets traction but ending at Golgotha with Man-Full-Stop has many of the same problems of Non-Theism/A-Theism and in a world such as ours, well, that has times of Fads and Edginess but the realities of life and heart and soul and mind and logic are, eventually, too much for it.

DISTINCTION BETWEEN ORTHODOXY AND HERESY

Revisions are fine but there is a REASON that all variations diverging off of or away from the Trinitarian Life have fallen flat for 2K years. That reason is actually mundane and straightforward and it has a name: LOGIC. That is perhaps why early proponents of items within the Octet moved towards self-isolating rather than towards the wide-open discussion as briefly alluded to in the following:

".....distinction between orthodoxy and heresy and expounding a comprehensive theological vision, and also within our own contemporary context, in which these issues are very much alive again. Against the commonly-held position that 'orthodoxy' was established by excluding others, the 'heretics', Behr argues that it was the self-chosen separation of the heretics that provided the occasion for those who remained together to clarify the lineaments of their faith in a church that

was catholic by virtue of embracing different voices in a symphony of many voices and whose chief architect was Irenaeus, who, as befits his name, urged peace and toleration....”

That is from "Irenaeus of Lyons: Identifying Christianity" — by John Behr and is available at <https://www.amazon.com/Irenaeus-Lyons-Identifying-Christianity-Christian/dp/0199214638/> and the E-Book is available via Google-Play.

A Second Inroad:

ALL OF REALITY IS FAIR GAME WHEN MAPPING

All of reality is fair game in the Wide Open Free For All of Mapping the Great Chain of Being because (1) it turns out that nothing less than Reason-Itself as Being-Itself compels and constrains all semantic content into the Trinitarian Life AND not ONLY that but ALSO (2) it is the case that nothing is left out of the running for “Possible Winner” EXCEPT the proverbial OCTET AND not only that but ALSO (3) we need never resort to mere presuppositionalism nor mere presupposing because the Christian Metaphysic houses the wherewithal to break free of the Münchhausen Trilemma ((three items within the aforementioned Octet i.e. Infinite Regress, Circularity, and Blind Axiom)). Some initial segues into all of that are as per the following:

[1/3] Liar’s Paradox: https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1812887697217687664

[2/3] Ocean And Immunity: https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1760678419841560589

[3/3] The following thread:

1/ https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1658344950046629889

2/ https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1658344953179779072

3/ Etc., Etc.

A Third Inroad:

REDUCING CERTAINTY TO NON-BEING

Philosophy vs. Religion vis-à-vis Fallible vs. Infallible:

Some say that the properly Philosophical mindset is very different from the properly Religious mindset. A properly Philosophical mindset holds a case as fallible but a properly Religious mindset must hold a case as infallible. And so then:

https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1744157947981176880

Different Forms and Definitions as well as various Agreements and Disagreements populate the landscape. And so then: https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1764983257974599913

A Fourth Inroad:

ALL THINGS CONSCIOUS

Revision is fine but to even get out of the gate the Non-Trinitarian Monotheist and the Non-Simplicitarian Monotheist must demonstrate that they do NOT forfeit Absolute Consciousness as well as all which is funded therein i.e. all Contingent Consciousness and thereby all reach to Speech/Claim-making. By that we mean:

1/18-ish https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1749796072292037016

2/18-ish https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1751603351714677093

3/ https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1753446617972126067

4/ https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1754190968213926112

5/ https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1755192225112154550

6/ https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1776959011637584255

....through.....

17/18 https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1808114866735005739

18/...Etc.

One must “Show Your Work” as they say:

1/2 See “61/62” https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1742166203584368946

2/2 See “Show Your Work” https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1730268632897163553

Everyone knows / intuits / tastes Being / Existence / Reciprocity / Logic / Self / Other...

That is why...

There are no atheists/non-theists...

There are only uninformed Theists ~ making their way towards the Trinitarian Life...

There’s no such thing as ‘The View From Nowhere’...

For example:

26 which is at https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1584924376478146560

48 which is at https://x.com/M_Christianity/status/1680369846465306626

161 which is at https://x.com/m_christianity/status/1691138207629324306?s=46

Lastly:

Standard Orthodox Creedal Trinitarian Christology Is Coherent Therefore Straw Need Not Apply To Complaint Departments: <https://metachristianity.com/standard-orthodox-creedal-trinitarian-christology-is-coherent-therefore-straw-need-not-apply-to-complaint-departments/>